IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Jonathan Lee Riches,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Justin Tanner Peterson, and Phone Losers of America "PLA";) C/A No. 6:08-1092-MBS
Christianne Carafano, a/k/a Chase Masterson, and John L. Ciccone;) C/A No. 6:08-1093-MBS
Thomas Cameron Kincade, and John William Fry;) C/A No. 6:08-1094-MBS
Michael Stefan Prime, and W. Patrick Kenna;) C/A No. 6:08-1095-MBS
Andrew FeLitt Bedenfield, and Bert Douglas Montgomery;) C/A No. 6:08-1096-MBS
James Carroll Sexton, and Herbert Arthur Bates;) C/A No. 6:08-1097-MBS
Shawn Gementera, and George Michael Shipsey;) C/A No. 6:08-1098-MBS
John Manuel Melendrez, and Kent Aoki;) C/A No. 6:08-1099-MBS
Zameer Nooralla Mohamed, and Javid Naghani;) C/A No. 6:08-1109-MBS
Francisco Demapan Dela Cruz;) C/A No. 6:08-1110-MBS
Ahmed Ressam, a/k/a Benni Antoine Noris;) C/A No. 6:08-1111-MBS
Stephen Remy Mueller;) C/A No. 6:08-1112-MBS
John Lanny Lynch, and Kenneth Southwell;) C/A No. 6:08-1113-MBS
Jake J. Brahm;) C/A No. 6:08-1114-MBS

	1
Kevin Eric Curtin;) C/A No. 6:08-1115-MBS
Flavio David Mendoza;) C/A No. 6:08-1117-MBS
Esther Y. Boyd;) C/A No. 6:08-1118-MBS
Brian Edward Ratigan;) C/A No. 6:08-1119-MBS
Robin G. Lamont;) C/A No. 6:08-1120-MBS
Rhonda Anne McCoy;) C/A No. 6:08-1121-MBS
Shaquille O'Neal, and Dwayne Wade;) C/A No. 6:08-1122-MBS
Dominique Blakney, and Kelby Blakney;) C/A No. 6:08-1123-MBS
Kostya P. Kennedy, and Stefanie Kaufman	C/A No. 6:08-1124-MBS
Gary Van Sickle, and Richard Demak;) C/A No. 6:08-1125-MBS
Gennaro Filice, and Jacob Luft;) C/A No. 6:08-1126-MBS
Gary Michael Hilton, and Meredith Emerson;) C/A No. 6:08-1127-MBS
Leo Felton, and Erica Chase;) C/A No. 6:08-1128-MBS
Paul James Hansen, and Brendan Brennan;) C/A No. 6:08-1129-MBS
Marty McSorely, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1130-MBS
Frederick Keith Singleton, and Charles Thomas Dickerson;) C/A No. 6:08-1131-MBS
Aleksey Razhba, and Rebecca Winters Keegan;) C/A No. 6:08-1132-MBS
John Stockton, and Karl Malone;) C/A No. 6:08-1133-MBS
Andria Scott Hurst, and Karen Lingo;) C/A No. 6:08-1139-MBS
Ruben Campa, and Gerardo Hernandez;) C/A No. 6:08-1140-MBS

	`
Willie Nelson, and Kenny Chesney;) C/A No. 6:08-1141-MBS
John Alex Floyd, Jr., and Paula Hunt Hughes;) C/A No. 6:08-1142-MBS
Robin Anne Spooner, and Claire Solomon Machamer;) C/A No. 6:08-1143-MBS
Christopher Ruddy, d/b/a Newsmax, and Marcus Alvia;) C/A No. 6:08-1144-MBS
Salma Hayek, and America Ferrera;) C/A No. 6:08-1145-MBS
Kenneth R. Timmerman, and Lowell Ponte;	C/A No. 6:08-1146-MBS
Alex Trebek, and Pat Sajak;) C/A No. 6:08-1147-MBS
Guy Willard Tooker, and Bao Tran;) C/A No. 6:08-1149-MBS
Calvin Broadus, d/b/a Snoop Doggy Dog;) C/A No. 6:08-1150-MBS
Ice Cube, a/k/a O'Shea Jackson, and Ice T, a/k/a Tracy Morrow;) C/A No. 6:08-1151-MBS
Ruben Studdard, and Taylor Hicks;) C/A No. 6:08-1152-MBS
Anna Nemtsova, d/b/a Newsweek, and Sharon Begley;) C/A No. 6:08-1153-MBS
Andrea Jung, d/b/a Avon Products, and Angela Braly, d/b/a Wellpoint;) C/A No. 6:08-1154-MBS
Hakeem Olajuwon, and David Robinson;) C/A No. 6:08-1155-MBS
Albertson's Inc., and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1171-MBS
Alyssa Milano, and Anthony Sabato, Jr.;) C/A No. 6:08-1172-MBS
R.R. Donnelley & Sons, and Bureau of Prisons;) C/A No. 6:08-1173-MBS
Jerry Springer, and Geraldo Rivera;) C/A No. 6:08-1174-MBS

Doris Kearns Goodwin, and Oleg Cassini;) C/A No. 6:08-1175-MBS
Sam Donaldson, Bill Moyers, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1176-MBS
Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of America, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1178-MBS
Westminster Kennel Club Dog Show;) C/A No. 6:08-1179-MBS
John Birch Society, Macdonald Hays, and Laurence E. Bunker;) C/A No. 6:08-1180-MBS
Karen Argow Lucchese, and Peter M. Zuckerman;) C/A No. 6:08-1181-MBS
Beth Lusko-Gunderman, and Daryl P. Bowman;) C/A No. 6:08-1182-MBS
Bernard Arnault, and Christian Lecroix;) C/A No. 6:08-1184-MBS
Walter Haefner, and Charles J. Wyly, Jr.;) C/A No. 6:08-1185-MBS
Ray Marion Cuddy, and Jacob Harold Sherwood;) C/A No. 6:08-1186-MBS
Corey Lyndell Blount, and Ronald Lee Blount, Jr.;) C/A No. 6:08-1187-MBS
Sheldon Adelson, d/b/a Las Vegas Sands, Inc.;) C/A No. 6:08-1188-MBS
Andre Kudelski, d/b/a Nagra USA, Inc.;) C/A No. 6:08-1189-MBS
François Pinault, d/b/a Artemis America, SA;) C/A No. 6:08-1190-MBS
Ronald R. Rewald, and Sunlin L.S. Wong;) C/A No. 6:08-1191-MBS
Theodore Waitt, and Norman Waitt;) C/A No. 6:08-1192-MBS
Mikhail Fridman, d/b/a OAO Alfa Bank, and Pyotr Aven;) C/A No. 6:08-1193-MBS)

Henry Samueli, d/b/a Broadcom Corp., and Henry T. Nicholas, III.;) C/A No. 6:08-1194-MBS
Steven M. Rales, d/b/a Danaher Corp., and Mitchell P. Rales;) C/A No. 6:08-1195-MBS
Carmax Auto Superstores, Inc., and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1196-MBS
H.J. Heinz Co., Teresa Heinz Kerry, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1197-MBS
Georgia-Pacific Corp., International Paper Co., and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1198-MBS
Land O'Lakes, Inc., and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1199-MBS
Roger Ebert, Gene Shalit, and Federal Correctional Institution Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1200-MBS
Linda Lovelace, Ron Jeremy, Peter North, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1201-MBS
Alexander & Baldwin, Inc. and FCI Williamsburg;)) C/A No. 6:08-1202-MBS
Jan-Pro Franchising Int'l, Inc., and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1203-MBS
Niccolo Machiavelli, Bureau of Prisons, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1204-MBS
Lesley Stahl, Andy Rooney, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1205-MBS
Greta Van Susteren, Paula Zahn, and FCI Williamsburg;) C/A No. 6:08-1206-MBS
Defendants.) _)

ORDER

Plaintiff Jonathan Lee Riches is an inmate in custody of the Bureau of Prisons. Plaintiff currently is incarcerated at FCI-Williamsburg in Salters, South Carolina. Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the captioned actions pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, seeking injunctive relief and/or monetary damages. The court previously has dismissed at least three cases deemed as "strikes" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Thus, Plaintiff cannot proceed with the instant complaints unless (1) his claim satisfies the exception for imminent physical harm provided by the "three-strikes" rule, or (2) he pays the full filing fee. See id.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge William M. Catoe for pretrial handling. The Magistrate Judge reviewed the complaints pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1996. On April 23, 2008, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which he found that, with each of the referenced cases, Plaintiff's allegations did not raise credible claims of "imminent danger" so as to invoke the exception to § 1915(g). Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge determined that Plaintiff is barred by the "three-strikes" rule from proceeding with the captioned cases unless he pays the filing fee of \$350.00 for each case. Plaintiff was granted ten days, excluding holidays and weekends, plus three days for mailing, to pay the filing fees. The Magistrate Judge recommended that, should Plaintiff fail to pay the filing fee, the within actions be dismissed pursuant to the "three strikes" rule of § 1915(g). Plaintiff neither filed objections to the Report and Recommendation, nor paid the filing fees.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility for making a final determination remains with this court.

Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portions of the Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or may recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of objections to the Report, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. The court adopts the Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein by reference. The within actions are dismissed under the "three strikes" rule of § 1915(g).

As the Magistrate Judge further noted, Plaintiff has filed at least thirty-four cases that have been summarily dismissed by this court because they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. At least eighty-two more cases have been dismissed for lack of prosecution or failure to comply with an order of the court. At least ninety-three additional cases, not including the within complaints, have been dismissed pursuant to the "three strikes" rule of § 1915(g). Plaintiff has repeatedly been warned that the court would impose additional restrictions on Plaintiff's ability to file complaints if he (1) continued to submit cases that he failed to pursue and prosecute; and/or (2) continued to file cases that were deemed frivolous or malicious, or that failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Plaintiff has disregarded the court's admonitions and has continued to file numerous nonsensical complaints against a litany of defendants. Accordingly, as recommended by the Magistrate Judge, the court hereby issues a prefiling injunction against Plaintiff as follows:

The Clerk of Court is directed to return any civil action submitted to this Court by the Plaintiff, unfiled, unless the following requirements are met:

(1) Payment of the full statutory filing fee (currently \$350.00) at the time any new civil case is submitted for filing;

or

- (2) Submission of a petition to the Clerk of Court seeking leave to file a new civil case. The petition must contain all of the following information:
 - (a) The name of the defendant(s) that Plaintiff intends to sue pro se, without payment of the filing fee;
 - (b) For each defendant named, that defendant's domicile;
 - (c) For any defendant whose domicile is outside of this District, a statement explaining why this Court would enjoy personal jurisdiction over that defendant and why venue for Plaintiff's claim is proper in this Court;
 - (d) A brief summary setting forth with specificity what each defendant has done to cause Plaintiff injury;
 - (e) A statement setting forth the legal theory pursuant to which Plaintiff believes he is entitled to relief.
 - (f) A statement (under penalty of perjury and subject to all sanctions available to the Court for untrue statements) that, to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge:
 - (1) the information contained in the petition is true and correct;
 - (2) the legal arguments are not frivolous or made in bad faith;

- (3) the proposed case does not involve any of the same factual or legal matters as were/are involved in any of Plaintiff's previously disposed/currently pending civil actions in this Court; and
- (4) that Plaintiff will comply with the local rules of this Court and with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- (g) The petition for leave to file a new complaint must be signed by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's full legal name. The following statement must appear above Plaintiff's signature: "This document and all statements contained herein or attached hereto are submitted under penalty of perjury and subject to all available Court imposed sanctions for untruthfulness, frivolity, and/or vexatiousness."
- (h) An official notary public seal and signature in proper legal form, attesting to the validity of Plaintiff's signature, must be affixed to the signature page of Plaintiff's petition.
- (i) The following documents must be attached to the petition for leave to file a new civil action:
 - (1) A complete signed original of the pleading that Plaintiff wishes to file as a new case pro se, and without payment of the filing fee;
 - (2) A fully completed and signed Form AO-240 (Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit);
 - (3) A fully completed Financial Certificate; and

(4) Fully completed Court approved service of process forms (summons and Form USM-285) for each defendant or respondent named in the pleading.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Margaret B. Seymour
United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina

May 17, 2008

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.